OGC 78-7394 3 November 1978

MEMORANDUM FOR: Acting Director, Policy Guidance Office

FROM

Deputy General Counsel

SUBJECT : Input to DCI's Annual Report

1. The following is a summary of activities during 1977 in certain areas which may be appropriate for inclusion in the DCI's 1978 Annual Report to the President and the Congress. Certain information, particularly that relating to legislation and congressional committee hearings, as well as issues of legality and propriety and legal protection against espionage and leaks, may overlap the reports of other offices such as Office of Legislative Counsel, the Office of the Inspector General, and the Office of Security. Since the Office of General Counsel and each of these other offices would comment on similar matters from different perspectives, I have deemed it preferable to make comments which may be in some respect redundant rather than possibly missing some issues.

Major Activities In General

The problem of protecting extremely sensitive classified information in the course of criminal prosecution or civil litigation continues to be at the forefront. most critical in the area of criminal prosecution because of the more often fatal effect of the need to withhold information in a criminal case. The ongoing ITT and Kampiles cases are near perfect examples of the two sides of this coin. The first signifying the difficulties of prosecuting for any offense where the defendant had some relationship with an intelligence agency; and the second, the quandary of the espionage defendant whose prosecution may require disclosure, elaboration, or confirmation of the very information the defendant is charged with disclosing or attempting to disclose to the injury of the United States. The problem, while more manageable in civil cases, still is a difficult one and has a great impact because of the sheer volume of civil cases as opposed to criminal.

The Office has been deeply involved and spent a great deal of lawyer time contributing to the two new Executive orders, 12036 on intelligence activities and 12065

STAT

Sec- C1

on classification. The regulations, instructions and procedures required by these Orders are primarily the responsibility of this Office and will largely determine the effectiveness of the Orders and the ability of the Agency to continue to carry on its necessary operations under the limitations set by those Orders.

STAT

Charter
legislation may have been the single most time-consuming
activity for the Office, with the General Counsel chairing
the Community task force. The initial Community work is
nearly completed and there is reason to hope for an Administration position on specific legislation by the time of the
convening of the next Congress. Dealings with the Attorney
General and the Intelligence Oversight Board on questions of
illegality and impropriety are continuing with matters
reported to the Attorney General now exceeding those reported

Reporting Questions of Legality or Propriety

5. There have been continuous activities and we believe effective relationships between the Agency and the Intelligence Oversight Board. The actual number of items reported to the Board on a regular basis has decreased considerably from the previous years, but this probably reflects primarily the fact that the previous years' reports include a fair amount of "catch-up" on newly discovered old matters where as most of 1978 concerns were new or current. procedures for handling matters with the Board seem to be well established and the method of oversight working well. The Agency and the Department of Justice have agreed upon procedures for reporting possible criminal violations to the Attorney General. These procedures will be formalized shortly after being modified slightly to meet a congressional objection. In the meantime reports are being made by the Agency and acted upon by the Attorney General in accordance with the draft procedures.

Litigation

to the Board.

6. The amount of litigation continues at a high level and its thrust is largely unchanged. The greatest number

of cases is in the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act areas, followed by tort cases. Many of the latter arise from activities disclosed in previous years' investigations. We continue to prevail in disputes over withholding for reasons of classification or protection of sources and methods. Nevertheless, the cases are becoming more difficult because the plaintiffs have become more sophisticated and have, as we do, the advantage of case precedents to draw upon which did not exist before. There is an apparent increase in equal employment opportunity discrimination cases and although only one reached litigation, it seems likely that others will. This may be only one aspect of a greater willingness of employees to assert and contest their rights while still employed. There is every reason to think that this will continue. These are sensitive cases to handle inasmuch as there must be no appearance of reprisal while some may be cases where employees subject to adverse actions for valid reasons are seeking shelter by asserting questionable discrimination claims. A related type of case which seems to be on the increase threatens to lead to more litigation is that of the applicant who contests a refusal to hire.

Espionage, Leaks and Disclosure by Publication

7. There has been a proliferation of publication of books and articles by former employees. The review process frequently involves difficult and sometimes adversary negotiations with the authors. We are in a position to object to disclosure in these seftings only on grounds of classification, so that we are powerless to prevent the publication of information that while unclassified may nevertheless be harmful to the extent that its disclosure causes sources and foreign liaison services to doubt our reliability \ The legal remedies available in the cases of those authors who publish without submission or who are unwilling to accept deletions are inadequate to say the least. > The Frank Snepp case if upheld on appeal will be a major victory and certainly may discourage publication by many who would otherwise do so, but it is by no means a totally effective remedy. There have been a number of proposals for improvements in the laws to offer greater protection to intelligence sources and methods but there is still great doubt that any effective remedy can be designed under our constitutional system. We will continue to work on this problem and believe that there is greater understanding than ever before in the legislative branch of the need to fashion some better remedies. We can hope for improvement but not perfection.

new contract security clauses	s not meeting security standardsSTAT

Approved For Release 2004/07/08: CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020008-5
The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

Resource Management Staff

						18 October 1		
	ME	MORANDUM FOR:	Mr. Anthony A. L General Counsel	apham				
TAT	FR	ROM:	Acting Director,	Policy Guid	ance Office			
	SU	JBJECT:	Input to the DCI	's Annual Re	port		• }	
STAT	The second of th	ue to the Presine DCI has apprelective than 1978 characterize omprehensive restructuring, a pages (lasor this year's phighlight the ence; Part II is key Executive	paration of the I dent and the Cong oved a concept for ast year's, both ed by attention to port approach use nd also to limit t year's ran 80 p report (Attachment particular chara s intended to aim the users, and the action and receive	gress by 25 Jor this year' because this to key intelled for 1977 a length for a blus). The Dart 1). Part acteristics ar several iss	anuary 1979 s Annual Re seems more igence issues a year of busy reade OCI has also I is designate emphases ues of greathe Annual R	, is now une port which appropriate es rather the extensive r to a mana approved a ed to allow of 1978 in t importance	der way. is more e to a han the community geable n outline the DCI intelli- e to him,	
STAT	to or f a t f t t O p W R	nnual Report geopics on which rappended to ired to in response which aken in response orwarded to the our office would his particular versight Board, riateness that ant to make a controller.	ditionally, in a enerally, Chairman the HPSCI desired to the case of the case	n Boland of tod additional additional additional acceptance of legality magencies will by the Interpropriate of White House, ny questions and this subjections.	the HPSCI linformation the of these agarding any and decision the interior of justice are of jurisdice aresponse.	sted six spanin the Annis: "All revintelligen on made or atelligence wersight Boarfor staffind the Intelstion and/or we will prody of the	ecial ual Report ports ce actions community rd." g out ligence appro- robably Annual	
T A T		2	would also appea	oista innut :	From your of	fice on two	coctions	

STAT

3. We would also appreciate input from your office on two sections of the Armual Report outline -- Legal and Propriety Issues and some aspects of Security and Counterintelligence Issues. Please address the following specifics, but feel free to raise other matters you feel should get attention

STAT

STAT

STAT

	Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020008-5	
SUBJ	ECT: Input to the DCI's Annual Report	STAT
in t	he DCI's Annual Report this year:	
	a. What strike you as highlights of 1978 on the legal and pronts? We would like to note, and air insofar as DCI comment mappropriate in an Annual Report, major legal issues rather than categories and volume of legal activity.	v bo
	b. Are there particular concerns and emphases of the Intell Oversight Board's 1978 activities that should be noted in the Annu	igence ual Report?
	c. Is the volume or thrust of litigation significantly enough from 1977 to be worth mention?	gh different
	d. Beyond specific cases, are there general points to be made legal perspective on security and counterintelligence issues involves involves and espionage, ex-intelligence officer authors, other kinds of leaks, are we doing at coping with the perennial dilemma of legal recourse exposure of sensitive intelligence data without further damaging of sources and methods?	lving etc? How
•	e. Are more effective legal remedies to these problems under tion? If so, should the DCI so note in his Annual Report?	· considera-
chart well.	4. We are asking the OLC for input on Congressional relations ter legislation, but you may have comment on some congressional mat	, including ters as
COB 6 Repor Janua 5th t	js responsible for pryear's DCI Annual Report. Please identify a principal point of coto work with. We shall need your overview comments for the Annual November, in order to accomplish integrated drafting of the entire in November, review in December, and DCI clearance and productionary. An early idea of the rough dimensions of the response to the task would be helpful in order to write any appropriate highlights of the Annual Report, but the full response will not be needed untime to append to the HPSCI's copy of the Annual Report.	ntact for Report by re Annual on in HPSCI's
		STAT
Attac 1.	Chments: DCI Revised Outline - AR for 1978	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

STAT

STAT

DCI letter to NFIB principals requesting help on Annual Report
 Boland letter to DCI (15 Sep w/att &

DCI interim reply)

2

STAT

Distribution: DCI/ICS 78-1198
Original & 1 - GC w/atts

1 - OLC w/atts
1 - IG w/atts
1 - SA/DCI/CI w/atts
1 - DCI Security Committee via Exec. Secy. w/atts
1 - RM/EO w/atts
1 - RM/CLLO w/atts
1 - RM/REG w/atts
1 - RM/PGO w/atts
1 - RM/PGO Chrono w/atts

DCI/RMS/PGO/L (18 October 1978)

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/07/08 : CIA-RDP80M00596A000200020008-5